UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,778	05/03/2005	Stephan Simon	10191/3675	7908
26646 KENYON & K	7590 09/26/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADY		TRAN, DALENA		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3664	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/533,778	SIMON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dalena Tran	3664			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 12-26 and 29-31 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-26,29-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all accomposed and are specified to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the control of the co	cepted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10533778	5/3/05	SIMON ET AL.	10191/3675	
		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY		Dalena Tran		
NEW YORK, NY 1000	04		ART UNIT	PAPER
			3664	20080923

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Application/Control Number: 10/533,778 Page 2

Art Unit: 3664

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on 6/20/08. As per request, claims 29-31 have been added. Thus, claims 12-26, and 29-31 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 12-26, and 29-31, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Patera et al. (6691034) in view of Piccirillo et al. (5557278).

As per claim 12, Patera et al. disclose a method for determining an accident risk of a first object with at least one second object, comprising: determining the accident risk as a function of a collision probability (see columns 4-5, lines 17-7; columns 8-9, lines 65-28; and columns 10-11, lines 49-30) of the at least one second object in a predefined region, and determining the collision probability as a function of motions of the first and at least one second object (see columns 6-7, lines 46-37). Patera et al. do not disclose hazard probability. However, Piccirillo et al. disclose determining the accident risk as a function of hazard probability, and determining the hazard probability as a function of motions of the first and at least one second object (see columns 3-4, lines 20-31; column 5, lines 22-55; and columns 7-8, lines 34-53). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the

Art Unit: 3664

teach of Patera et al. by combining hazard probability for monitoring the position of multiple objects for collision avoidance.

As per claim 13, Piccirillo et al. disclose an object class of the first and at least one second object are taken into account in determining the collision probability and the hazard probability (see columns 3-4, lines 61-33; column 5, lines 22-55; and columns 7-8, lines 34-53).

As per claims 14-15, Piccirillo et al. disclose the motion and the object class of the at least one second object are determined by way of a sensor suite, and the motion and the object class of the first object are retrieved from at least one data source (see columns 7-8, lines 34-53).

As per claims 16-17, Patera et al. disclose the motion of the first object is defined by at least one current position and its velocity (see column 5, lines 23-51).

As per claims 18-21, Patera et al. disclose the motion of the at least one second object is defined by at least one current position (see column 6, lines 16-45).

As per claim 22, Patera et al. disclose the motion of the first object is additionally determined by way of at least one of its first longitudinal acceleration, first transverse acceleration, a first rotation angle and a first steering angle (see columns 12-13, lines 43-24; and columns 22-23, lines 22-9).

As per claim 23, Patera et al. disclose the motion of the at least one second object is additionally determined by way of its velocity relative to the first object, second longitudinal acceleration, a second transverse acceleration and a second rotation angle (see column 12, lines 9-20).

As per claims 24-25, Patera et al. disclose environmental influences and a respective driving behavior are taken into account in determining the respective motion (see column 16, lines 10-14).

Page 4

As per claim 26, Patera et al. disclose at least one of an indication and at least one signal to an actuator suite are generated as a function of the accident risk (see column 8, lines 24-67; and columns 17-18, lines 59-39).

Claim 29, is a combination of claims 13-14, 16, and 18 above. Claim 30, is a combination of claims 22-23 above. Claim 31, is a combination of claims 24-26 above. Therefore, they are rejected the same as above.

Remarks

- 4. Applicant's argument filed on 6/20/08 has been fully considered. Upon updated search, the new ground of rejection has been set forth as above.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalena Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-6968. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W (in a first week of a bi-week), and T-R (in a second week of bi-week) from 7:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Khoi H. Tran can be reached on 571-272-6919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dalena Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3664 September 24, 2008 Application/Control Number: 10/533,778

Page 5

Art Unit: 3664